

ON SOFIC ACTIONS AND EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

L. PĂUNESCU¹

Abstract. The notion of sofic equivalence relation was introduced by Gabor Elek and Gabor Lippner. Their technics employ some graph theory. Here we define this notion in a more operator algebraic context, starting from Connes' embedding problem, and prove the equivalence of this two definitions. We introduce a notion of sofic action for an arbitrary group and prove that amalgamated product of sofic actions over amenable groups is again sofic. We also prove that amalgamated product of sofic groups over an amenable subgroup is again sofic.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Definitions of hyperlinear and sofic actions	2
1.2	The Feldman-Moore construction	4
1.3	Definition of sofic equivalence relations	6
1.4	Preliminaries	7
2	Bernoulli shifts	10
3	Sofic actions	13
4	Sofic equivalence relations	16

¹Work supported by the Marie Curie Research Training Network MRTN-CT-2006-031962 EU-NCG.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions of hyperlinear and sofic actions

We shall work with ultraproducts of matrix algebras instead of R^ω . We denote such a ultraproduct by $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. It is well known that embedding in R^ω is equivalent with embedding in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. For the sake of completeness we include a proof. We shall always denote by Tr the normalized trace on a type I or type II finite factor.

Proposition 1.1. *R^ω embeds in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus any type II factor embedable in R^ω also embeds in a ultraproduct of matrices.*

Proof. Approximating the hyperfinite factor by matrix algebras we can easily see that $R \subset M_{n_k}^\omega$. Then $R^\omega \subset (M_{n_k}^\omega)^\omega \simeq M_{n_k}^{\omega \otimes \omega}$. $\square$

Some results in this article will have to do with soficity of certain objects. Because of this, we shall work with permutations.

Notation 1.2. We shall denote by $D_{n_k} \subset M_{n_k}$ the diagonal subalgebra and by $P_{n_k} \subset M_{n_k}$ the subgroup of permutation matrices. Given an ultraproduct $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ denote by $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ the corresponding subsets.

By a theorem of Popa (see [Po1], proposition 4.3) $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ is a maximal abelian nonseparable subalgebra of $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. We now introduce a notion of hyperlinearity and soficity for actions.

Definition 1.3. An action α of a countable group G on a standard Borelian space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is called *hyperlinear* if the crossed product $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$ embeds in R^ω .

Definition 1.4. An action α of a countable group G on a standard Borelian space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is called *sofic* if the crossed product $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$ embeds in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $L^\infty(X) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$.

So for an action to be sofic instead of simply hyperlinear we want that the unitaries implementing the action, to be permutation matrices. Note that also Elek and Lippner defined a notion of soficity for action of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ as a preliminary step in defining the concept of sofic equivalence relation. The two notions of sofic actions are not equivalent.

Next theorem shows that the property of sofic action is invariant under orbit equivalence. While the proof is quite simple, this theorem hints very clearly that being sofic is a property of the orbit equivalence relation, rather than of the action itself.

Theorem 1.5. *Let α and β be two free orbit equivalent actions. If α is hyperlinear (sofic) then also β is hyperlinear (sofic).*

Proof. Let α be an action of G and β be an action of H such that $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G \simeq L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\beta H$ (isomorphism that is identity on $L^\infty(X)$). By this we are done with the hyperlinear part of the theorem. Consider now a sofic embedding of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$. Let $u_g \in L(G)$, $g \in G$ and $v_s \in L(H)$, $s \in H$ denoting the corresponding unitaries. For $s \in H$ we can find projections $\{p_g | g \in G\}$ in $L^\infty(X)$ such that $\sum_g p_g = 1$ and $v_s = \sum_g p_g u_g$. By next lemma, $v_s \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ and we are done. $\square$

Lemma 1.6. *Let $\{e_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ such that $\sum_i e_i = 1$. Let $\{u_i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be unitary elements in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $\sum_i u_i^* e_i u_i = 1$. Then $v = \sum_i e_i u_i$ is a unitary in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$.*

Proof. Using $\sum_i e_i = 1$ we can easily construct projections $e_i^k \in D_{n_k}$ such that:

1. $e_i = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_i^k$;
2. $\sum_i e_i^k = 1_{n_k}$.

By hypothesis we have $u_i = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_i^k$ where $u_i^k \in P_{n_k}$. If $v^k = \sum_i e_i^k u_i^k$ then $v = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} v^k$, but v^k are not necessary permutation matrices. Because $\sum_i u_i^* e_i u_i = 1$ we have $v^* v = 1$, so v is a unitary. Now, v^k has only 0 and 1 entries and exactly one entry of 1 on each line. Then $v^{k*} v^k$ is a diagonal matrix giving the number of 1 entries on each column.

Denote by r_k the number of columns in v^k having only 0 entries. This number will also represent the numbers of 0 entries on the diagonal of $v^{k*} v^k$. Then:

$$\|v^{k*} v^k - Id\|_2^2 \geq \frac{r_k}{n_k}.$$

Because $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} v^{k*} v^k = 1$ we have $r_k/n_k \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \omega} 0$. We can "move" r_k entries of 1 in v^k on the r_k missing columns to get a permutation matrix $w^k \in P_{n_k}$. Then:

$$\|v^k - w^k\|_2^2 = \frac{2r_k}{n_k}.$$

Combined with $r_k/n_k \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \omega} 0$ we get $v = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} w^k$. This will prove the lemma. $\square$

Definition 1.7. We shall call an element of $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ a *permutation*, instead of an ultraproduct of permutations. A *piece of permutation* will be an element of the form $e \cdot p$, where e is a projection in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ and p is a permutation.

Lemma 1.6 now becomes *a unitary that is a sum of pieces of permutations is a permutation*.

1.2 The Feldman-Moore construction

Based on theorem 1.5, a definition of sofic equivalence relation is possible for relations generated by free actions. Unfortunately, not all equivalence relations have this property. We adapt the definition using the Feldman-Moore construction. Let us recall some things from [Fe-Mo].

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a standard space with a probability measure. Let $E \subset X^2$ an equivalence relation on X such that $E \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. We shall work only with equivalence relations that are countable, i.e. every equivalence class is countable, and μ -invariant. Before we recall what this means we introduce some notation.

Denote by $[E]$ set of all isomorphism with graph in E and by $[[E]]$ set of all partial isomorphism with graph in E :

$$\begin{aligned} [E] &= \{\theta : X \rightarrow X : \theta \text{ bijection, } \text{graph}\theta \subset E\}; \\ [[E]] &= \{\phi : A \rightarrow B : A, B \subset X, \phi \text{ bijection, } \text{graph}\phi \subset E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.8. Let E an equivalence relation on (X, μ) . Then E is called μ -invariant if for any $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, $\phi \in [[E]]$ we have $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$.

For a von Neumann algebra A , we shall denote by $\mathcal{U}(A)$ the group of unitaries in the algebra A . The normalizer $\mathcal{N}_M(A)$ and the normalizing pseudogroup $\mathcal{GN}_M(A)$ are the corresponding objects of $[E]$ and $[[E]]$ respectively. For $A \subset M$ define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_M(A) &= \{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) : uAu^* = A\}; \\ \mathcal{GN}_M(A) &= \{v \in M \text{ partial isometry} : vv^*, v^*v \in A, vAv^* = Avv^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can construct the algebra $M(E)$ associated to an equivalence relation.

Definition 1.9. A measurable function $a : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *finite* if a is bounded and there is a natural number n such that:

$$Card(\{x : a(x, y) \neq 0\}) \leq n \quad \forall y \in X;$$

$$Card(\{y : a(x, y) \neq 0\}) \leq n \quad \forall x \in X.$$

A finite function (matrix) is a bounded function with finite number of nonzero entries on each line and column (having also a global margin). We shall multiply this functions as general matrices and the definition of finite function guarantees we get a $*$ -algebra. Define:

$$M_0(E) = \{a : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : a \text{ finite function}\};$$

$$a \cdot b(x, z) = \sum_y a(x, y)b(y, z);$$

$$a^*(x, y) = \overline{a(y, x)}.$$

It is easy to check this is indeed a $*$ -algebra. The trace is defined in a similar way as in the case of matrices:

$$Tr(a) = \int_X a(x, x) d\mu.$$

The algebra $M(E)$ will be the weak closure of $M_0(E)$ in the GNS representation of $(M_0(E), Tr)$. By general theory of von Neumann algebras, using the cyclic separating vector of the GNS representation, we can still see elements of $M(E)$ as measurable functions $a : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ the diagonal in E . Define the subalgebra of diagonal matrices:

$$A = \{a \in M(E) : supp(a) \subset \Delta\}.$$

We shall denote by δ_x^y the Kronecker delta function, i.e. $\delta_x^y = 1$ iff $x = y$; otherwise $\delta_x^y = 0$. Notation χ_A stands for the characteristic function of A .

Definition 1.10. For $\theta \in [E]$ define $u_\theta \in M(E)$ by: $u_\theta(x, y) = \delta_x^{\theta(y)}$. For $\phi \in [[E]]$, define $v_\phi(x, y) = \chi_{dom(\phi)}(y) \cdot \delta_x^{\phi(y)}$.

It is not hard to see that $u_\theta \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ for any $\theta \in [E]$ and $v_\phi \in \mathcal{GN}(A)$ for any $\phi \in [[E]]$. Moreover any $u \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ is of the form $a \cdot u_\theta$, where $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $\theta \in [E]$. Also $u_\theta u_\psi = u_{\theta \circ \psi}$ for $\theta, \psi \in [E]$. This provides a group isomorphism between the Weyl group $\mathcal{N}(A)/\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $[E]$.

Algebra A is maximal abelian in $M(E)$. Also $\mathcal{N}(A)'' = M(E)$. These properties make A a Cartan subalgebra of $M(E)$. We shall call $A \subset M(E)$ a Cartan pair.

Motivation of Feldman-Moore construction was the invariance of crossed product up to orbit equivalent actions. Next example shows this is indeed the right construction.

Example 1.11. Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ a free action. Denote by E_α the orbit equivalence relation generated by α on X . Then:

$$L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G \simeq M(E_\alpha).$$

1.3 Definition of sofic equivalence relations

The notion of sofic equivalence relation was introduced by Gabor Elek and Gabor Lippner (see [El-Li]). We shall provide a different definition here and prove in section 4 the equivalence of the two definitions.

Definition 1.12. An equivalence relation E is called *sofic* if there is an embedding of $M(E)$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ such that $A \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{U}(A) \cdot \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$.

This has the advantage of being a compact definition, but in practice we shall need the following type of embeddings.

Definition 1.13. Let E an equivalence relation and $A \subset M(E)$ the Cartan pair associated to E . We call an embedding $\Theta : M(E) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ *sofic* if $\Theta(A) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ and $\Theta(u_\theta) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ for any $\theta \in [E]$.

Proposition 1.14. *An equivalence relation E is a sofic if and only if its Cartan pair $A \subset M(E)$ admits a sofic embedding.*

Proof. Let $\Theta : M(E) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ an embedding such that $\Theta(A) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ and $\Theta(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subset \Theta(\mathcal{U}(A)) \cdot \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$.

For $\varphi \in [E]$ we have a unique decomposition $\Theta(u_\varphi) = \Theta(f_\varphi)v_\varphi$, where $f_\varphi \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $v_\varphi \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. Then:

$$\Theta(f_\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) = \Theta(u_\varphi)\Theta(f_\psi)\Theta(u_\varphi^*) = \Theta(f_\varphi)(v_\varphi\Theta(f_\psi)v_\varphi^*)\Theta(f_\varphi^*) = v_\varphi\Theta(f_\psi)v_\varphi^*.$$

Because of the uniqueness of the decomposition of $\Theta(u_\varphi)$ we have $f_\varphi\psi = f_\varphi(f_\psi \circ \varphi^{-1})$. If χ_φ denotes the projection with support $\{x \in X : \varphi(x) = x\}$, one has $\chi_\varphi u_\varphi = \chi_\varphi$ and hence:

$$\Theta(f_\varphi^* \chi_\varphi) = \Theta(f_\varphi^* \chi_\varphi u_\varphi) = \Theta(\chi_\varphi)v_\varphi.$$

The conditional expectation of v_φ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ is a projection. Thus, taking the conditional expectation on $\Theta(A)$ it follows that $f_\varphi^* \chi_\varphi$ is positive and hence equal to χ_φ . So, for all $\varphi \in [E]$ we have $f_\varphi \chi_\varphi = \chi_\varphi$. Altogether, it follows that the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u_\varphi) &= f_\varphi^* u_\varphi \text{ for all } \varphi \in [E], \\ \alpha(a) &= a \text{ for all } a \in A \end{aligned}$$

provides a well defined automorphism of $M(E)$. The composition of Θ and α is the required sofic embedding of $M(E)$. $\square$

As a consequence of this proposition and lemma 1.6, we have the following result.

Proposition 1.15. *Let α be a free action. Then E_α is a sofic equivalence relation if and only if α is a sofic action.*

1.4 Preliminaries

We include here some propositions that will be used in different situations. First an easy observation.

Observation 1.16. Let $\Theta = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_k$ be a sofic embedding of some von Neumann algebra M in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$. Consider also $\{r_k\}_k$ a sequence of natural numbers. Then $\Theta \otimes 1 = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_k \otimes 1_{r_k}$ is again a sofic embedding of M in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k} \otimes M_{r_k} = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k r_k}$.

This trick will be used when we need to embed two algebras in the same $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ (that is the same matrix dimension at each step).

Next sequence of lemmas will show that two sofic embeddings of the same hyperfinite algebra are conjugate by a permutation.

Lemma 1.17. *Let e, f two projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ such that $\text{Tr}(e) = \text{Tr}(f)$. Then there is a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $f = ueu^*$.*

Proof. Let $e = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e^k$ and $f = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} f^k$ such that e^k and f^k are projections in D_{n_k} . Assume e^k has t_k entries of 1 and f^k has s_k entries of 1, so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} t_k/n_k = \text{Tr}(e) = \text{Tr}(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} s_k/n_k$. Choose $p_1^k \in P_{n_k}$ such that $p_1^k e^k p_1^{k*}$ has the first t_k entries of 1 on the diagonal. In the same way choose p_2^k such that $p_2^k f^k p_2^{k*}$ has the first s_k entries of 1 on the diagonal. Define $p_i = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} p_i^k$ for $i = 1, 2$. Our constructions guarantee that $\text{Tr}(|p_1 e p_1^* - p_2 f p_2^*|) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} |t_k - s_k|/n_k = 0$. Then $p_1 e p_1^* = p_2 f p_2^*$ so define $u = p_2^* p_1$. $\square$

Lemma 1.18. *Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^m$ two sequences of projections in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$ and $\text{Tr}(e_i) = \text{Tr}(f_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there is a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $f_i = u e_i u^*$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Proof. Apply previous lemma for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ to get elements $u_i \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $u_i e_i u_i^* = f_i$. Define $u = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i e_i$. Then by lemma (1.6) we know $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. Also $u e_i u^* = u_i e_i u_i^* = f_i$. $\square$

Proposition 1.19. *Let Θ_1, Θ_2 be two embeddings of $L^\infty(X)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $\Theta_2(a) = u \Theta_1(a) u^*$ for every $a \in L^\infty(X)$.*

Proof. Let A_m an increasing sequence of commutative finite dimensional subalgebras such that $L^\infty(X) = (\cup_m A_m)''$. By previous lemma there exist a unitary $u_m \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $\Theta_2(a) = Adu_m \circ \Theta_1(a)$ for $a \in A_m$. We shall construct $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ using a diagonal argument. Let $u_m = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_m^k$ with $u_m^k \in P_{n_k}$ and $\Theta_i(a) = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \Theta_i(a)_k$ with $\Theta_i(a)_k \in D_{n_k}$.

Inductively choose smaller $F_m \in \omega$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|u_m^k \Theta_1(a)_k u_m^{k*} - \Theta_2(a)_k\|_2 < 1/m$ for any $a \in (A_m)_1$, $k \in F_m$. Define $u^k = u_m^k$ for $k \in F_m \setminus F_{m+1}$ and set $u = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u^k$. $\square$

Proposition 1.20. *Let E be a hyperfinite equivalence relation and $A \subset M(E)$ the Cartan pair associated to E . Let Θ_1, Θ_2 two sofic embeddings of $M(E)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $\Theta_2(x) = u \Theta_1(x) u^*$ for every $x \in M(E)$.*

Proof. Using the previous proposition we can assume Θ_1 and Θ_2 coincide on A . We shall first prove this result in case of ergodic equivalence relation, i.e. $M(E)$ is the hyperfinite factor. By definition of hyperfinite equivalence relation and Feldman-Moore construction (see also proof of 4.1 from [Po2]) there exists an increasing sequence of matrix algebras $\{N_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ of $M(E)$ each of them with a set of matrix units $\{e_{ij}^m\}$ such that:

1. $M(E)$ is the weak closure of $\cup_m N_m$;
2. $e_{ii}^m \in A$ and $\sum_i e_{ii}^m = 1$;
3. e_{ij}^m are of the form v_θ with $\theta \in [[E]]$;
4. every e_{rs}^p , for $p \leq m$, is the sum of some e_{ij}^m .

Elements v_θ are of the form $e \cdot u_\phi$, where e is a projection in A and $\phi \in [E]$. Combined with Θ_l is sofic, we get $\Theta_l(e_{ij}^m)$ is a piece of permutation. Define

$$p_m = \sum_j \Theta_2(e_{j1}^m) \Theta_1(e_{1j}^m).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_m p_m^* &= \sum_{i,j} \Theta_2(e_{i1}^m) \Theta_1(e_{1i}^m) \Theta_1(e_{j1}^m) \Theta_2(e_{1j}^m) \\ &= \sum_j \Theta_2(e_{j1}^m) \Theta_1(e_{11}^m) \Theta_2(e_{1j}^m) = \sum_j \Theta_2(e_{jj}^m) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

so p_m is a unitary. Using 1.6 we have $p_m \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} p_m \Theta_1(e_{rs}^m) p_m^* &= \sum_{i,j} \Theta_2(e_{i1}^m) \Theta_1(e_{1i}^m) \Theta_1(e_{rs}^m) \Theta_1(e_{j1}^m) \Theta_2(e_{1j}^m) \\ &= \Theta_2(e_{r1}^m) \Theta_1(e_{11}^m) \Theta_2(e_{1s}^m) = \Theta_2(e_{rs}^m). \end{aligned}$$

We obtained $p_m \Theta_1(x) p_m^* = \Theta_2(x)$ for $x \in N_m$. Employing another diagonal argument we construct a permutation $p \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $p \Theta_1(x) p^* = \Theta_2(x)$ for $x \in \cup_m N_m$. Using 1 we are done.

The proof in general case works the same. The only difference is that $\{N_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ are finite dimensional algebras instead of matrix algebras, so we need to be more careful when

defining p_m . Assume that $N_m = N_m^1 \oplus N_m^2 \oplus \dots \oplus N_m^t$, with N_m^v factors for $v = 1, \dots, t$. Let $\{e_{ij;v}^m\}$ a set of matrix units for N_m^v . Then define:

$$p_m = \sum_{v=1}^t \sum_j \Theta_2(e_{j1;v}^m) \Theta_1(e_{1j;v}^m).$$

Computations that p_m is a unitary and $p_m \Theta_1(e_{rs}^m) p_m^* = \Theta_2(e_{rs}^m)$ are the same. $\square$

2 Bernoulli shifts

In [El-Li] Elek and Lippner proved that equivalence relations generated by Bernoulli shifts of sofic groups are sofic. We present here the nice proof of Narutaka Ozawa from [Oz].

Theorem 2.1. (*Elek-Lippner*) *Equivalence relations generated by Bernoulli shifts of sofic groups are sofic.*

Proof. (Ozawa) Let G be a sofic group. Every Bernoulli shift is a free action. Using 1.15 we just need to prove that each Bernoulli shift of G is a sofic action.

Let $X = \{0, 1\}^G = \{f : G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}$. For distinct $g_1, g_2 \dots g_m$, define the cylinder set:

$$c_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} = \{f \in X : f(g_j) = i_j \ \forall j = 1 \dots m\},$$

and let $Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}$ be the projection onto this set. Then β is the action of G on X such that $\beta(g) c_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} = c_{gg_1, gg_2, \dots, gg_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}$.

Let $\Theta_0 : G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ be a sofic embedding of G with $\text{Tr}(\Theta_0(g)) = 0$ for each $g \neq e$. Write $\Theta_0(g) = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} p_{g;k}$ such that $p_{g;k} \in P_{n_k}$. Define $\Theta : G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k} \otimes M_{2^{n_k}}$ by $\Theta = \Theta_0 \otimes 1$. Let Y_k a set with n_k elements and identify D_{n_k} with $L^\infty(Y_k)$. Also let $Z_k = \{\eta : Y_k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}$ and identify $D_{2^{n_k}}$ with $L^\infty(Z_k)$. Define now:

$$c_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in Y_{n_k} \times Z_{n_k} : \eta(p_{g_j; k}^{-1}(\xi)) = i_j, \ j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let $Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} \in D_{n_k} \otimes D_{2^{n_k}}$ be the characteristic function of $c_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}$. Define now

$\Theta(Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}) = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(g)\Theta(Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m})\Theta(g)^* &= \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} (p_{g; k} \otimes 1) Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} (p_{g; k}^{-1} \otimes 1) \\
&= \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\{(\xi, \eta) : (p_{g; k}^{-1} \otimes 1)(\xi, \eta) \in c_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}\}} \\
&= \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\{(\xi, \eta) : \eta(p_{g_j; k}^{-1} p_{g; k}^{-1}(\xi)) = i_j, j=1, \dots, m\}} \\
&=_{not} \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{T_k}. \\
\Theta(Q_{gg_1, gg_2, \dots, gg_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}) &= \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{\{(\xi, \eta) : \eta(p_{gg_j; k}^{-1}(\xi)) = i_j, j=1, \dots, m\}} \\
&=_{not} \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{S_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $(\xi, \eta) \in T_k \Delta S_k$ then for some $j = 1, \dots, m$ we have $p_{g_j; k}^{-1} p_{g; k}^{-1}(\xi) \neq p_{gg_j; k}^{-1}(\xi)$. Given the fact that Θ_0 is a sofic embedding it follows that $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{T_k} = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \chi_{S_k}$.

The only thing left is to compute the trace of $\Theta(Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m})$. For this, let $A_k = \{\xi \in Y_k : p_{g_j; k}^{-1}(\xi) \text{ are different for } j = 1, \dots, m\}$. Because $Tr(\Theta_0(g)) = 0$ for $g \neq e$ we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} Card(A_k)/n_k = 1$. Then:

$$Tr(\Theta(Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m})) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} Tr(Q_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; k}^{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1}{n_k 2^{n_k}} \left(\sum_{\xi \in A_k} 2^{n_k - m} + \sum_{\xi \notin A_k} v_\xi \right) = \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

This will prove that Θ is an embedding of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\beta G$, proving the soficity of the action β .

The proof can be adapted to work for any Bernoulli shift. For a finite uniform Bernoulli shift the proof works the same. A diagonal argument will prove the theorem in case $X = [0, 1]^G$ (with product of Lebesgue measure). Any other Bernoulli shift will yield a subalgebra of $L^\infty([0, 1]^G) \rtimes G$. $\square$

Next easy proposition will be used in the proof of corollary 2.3.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G act freely on a countable set I . Then the generalized Bernoulli shift of G on $\{0, 1\}^I$ is sofic.*

Proof. If G acts freely on I then I is of the form $G \times I'$ and the action is a shift on the first component. The generalized Bernoulli shift on $\{0, 1\}^I$ is a classic Bernoulli shift on X^G where $X = \{0, 1\}^{I'}$. $\square$

A formally weaker version of the following result was first obtain by Benoit Collins and Ken Dykema ([Co-Dy]). Independently, Elek and Szabo proved this theorem using different methods ([El-Sz2]).

Corollary 2.3. *Amalgamated products of sofic groups over amenable groups are sofic.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2 be two sofic groups with a common amenable subgroup H . Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{G_1 * H G_2}$ equipped with product measure. Then G_1 and G_2 act on X as generalized Bernoulli shifts and this actions coincide on H . Using the above proposition (and 1.16) we can construct sofic embeddings $\Theta_i : L^\infty(X) \rtimes G_i \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ for $i = 1, 2$. By proposition (1.20) we can assume $\Theta_1 = \Theta_2$ on $L^\infty(X) \rtimes H$ (here we use H amenable and classic result from [CFW]). Note that now Θ_1 acts on $\Theta_i(L^\infty(X))$ by shifting with G_1 and Θ_2 acts on the same space by shifting with G_2 . This will provide a representation Θ of $G_1 *_H G_2$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. Also, Θ acts on $\Theta_i(L^\infty(X))$ as a classic Bernoulli shift. This implies Θ is faithful, so $G_1 *_H G_2$ is sofic. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let H be an abelian group and G a sofic group. Then $H \wr G$ (wreath product) is sofic.*

Proof. We shall work with the following presentation $\langle S | R \rangle$ of the wreath product:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \{f_g^h, u_g : \text{for every } h \in H \text{ and } g \in G\}; \\ R = & \{f_g^e = e : \forall g \in G\} \cup \{f_g^{h_1} f_g^{h_2} = f_g^{h_1 h_2} : \forall g \in G, \forall h_1, h_2 \in H\} \cup \\ & \{f_{g_1}^{h_1} f_{g_2}^{h_2} = f_{g_2}^{h_2} f_{g_1}^{h_1} : \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, g_1 \neq g_2, \forall h_1, h_2 \in H\} \cup \\ & \{u_{g_1} u_{g_2} = u_{g_1 g_2} : \forall g_1, g_2 \in G\} \cup \\ & \{u_{g_1} f_{g_2}^h u_{g_1}^{-1} = f_{g_1 g_2}^h : \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, h \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider first the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr G$. Apply Elek-Lippner result to embed $L(\mathbb{Z}_2^G) \rtimes_\beta G \simeq L(\mathbb{Z}_2^G \rtimes G) = L(\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr G)$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$. Generators u_g will be ultraproduct of permutations. Instead, elements of the type f_g^h are unitaries in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ with ± 1 entries. Construct a sofic representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \wr G$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{2n_k}$ by replacing a 1 entry with I_2 and a -1 entry with: $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Consider now the general case. Let $\Theta : L^\infty(\{0,1\}^G) \rtimes G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ the sofic embedding constructed in the last proof. Also let $\Lambda : H \rightarrow P_{m_k}$ be a sofic embedding of H . We shall construct $\Phi : H \wr G \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k} \otimes P_{m_k}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u_g) &= \Theta(g) \otimes 1; \\ \Phi(f_g^h) &= c_g^0 \otimes 1 + c_g^1 \otimes \Lambda(h).\end{aligned}$$

Relations in set R are easy to check (one needs H abelian for $f_{g_1}^{h_1} f_{g_2}^{h_2} = f_{g_2}^{h_2} f_{g_1}^{h_1}$). Also $\text{Tr}(\Phi(u_g)) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\Phi(f_g^h)) = 1/2$. In order to finish the proof we need to see that Φ is injective.

The generic element of $H \wr G$ is $s = f_{g_1}^{h_1} f_{g_2}^{h_2} \dots f_{g_n}^{h_n} u_g$ with $g_1, g_2 \dots g_n$ distinct. Then:

$$\Phi(s) = \left(\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0,1\}^n} c_{g_1, g_2 \dots g_n}^{i_1, i_2 \dots i_n} \otimes \Lambda(\Pi_{i_k=1} h_k) \right) (\Theta(g) \otimes 1).$$

Assume $\Phi(s) = 1$. Then for any $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0,1\}^n$, $\Lambda(\Pi_{i_k=1} h_k) = 1$. This force $h_k = e$ for any k . Then $\Phi(u_g) = 1$, so $u_g = e$. It follows $s = e$. $\square$

3 Sofic actions

The goal would be to prove that every (free) action of a sofic group is sofic. While this remains open we shall prove this fact for a family of groups. Let's first solve this ambiguity: free or general actions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a group such that every free action is sofic. Then every action of G is sofic.*

Proof. Let α be an action of G on X . Let $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$ be a free action (eg. Bernoulli shift). Define $\alpha \otimes \beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X \times Y)$ by $g(x, y) = (gx, gy)$. With this definition $\alpha \otimes \beta$ is a free action of G , so it is sofic. We can embed $L^\infty(X \times Y) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \beta} G$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ satisfying the requirements of sofic action. The space $L^\infty(X)$ can be embedded in $L^\infty(X \times Y)$ by $\text{id} \otimes 1$. This embedding can be extended to an embedding of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$ in $L^\infty(X \times Y) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \beta} G$. This will prove α is sofic. $\square$

Definition 3.2. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the class of groups for which every action is sofic.

While we can not prove that every sofic group is in $\mathcal{S}$, we will provide some examples. First goal is to deal with amenable groups.

Proposition 3.3. *Each action of the integers admits a sofic embedding.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : L^\infty(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$ the automorphism that generates the action. Choose $\Theta : L^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ an embedding. Apply proposition 1.19 to Θ and $\Theta \circ \alpha$ to get a unitary $u \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ such that $Adu \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \alpha$.

As powers of permutation matrices are still permutation matrices, we have $u^m \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. Also $u^m \Theta(f)(u^m)^* = \Theta(\alpha^m(f))$ for any $f \in L^\infty(X)$. Now we have an embedding Θ of the algebraic crossed product $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. In order to have an embedding of the crossed product we need the relation $Tr(u^m) = 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.

Let Λ be an embedding of $\mathbb{Z}$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{r_k}$ using only elements of trace 0. Define the embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k \cdot r_k}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta \otimes \Lambda(T) &= \Theta(T) \otimes 1 & \text{for } T \in L^\infty(X) \\ \Theta \otimes \Lambda(u_g) &= \Theta(u_g) \otimes \Lambda(u_g) & \text{for } g \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

This embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of the algebraic crossed product respects the trace of the von Neumann crossed product. Using the unique feature of the type II case the closure of its image will be the crossed product. $\square$

Proposition 3.4. *Amenable groups are in $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Let G be an amenable group and let $\alpha : G \rightarrow Aut(X, \mu)$ be a free action. Then E_α is amenable. By [CFW] E_α is generated by an action β of $\mathbb{Z}$. By previous proposition β is sofic. Because almost all equivalence classes of E_α are non-finite, β is free. Using proposition 1.5 we deduce α is sofic. Combined with theorem 3.1, we get $G \in \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

Next proposition will enlarge the class of groups for which such results hold.

Theorem 3.5. *Let α_1 and α_2 be two sofic actions of G_1 and G_2 on the same space X . Consider H , a common amenable subgroup of G_1 and G_2 . Assume α_1 and α_2 coincide on H , and this action of H is free. Then the action $\alpha_1 *_H \alpha_2$ of $G_1 *_H G_2$ is sofic.*

Proof. Using 1.16 we can construct sofic embeddings of the two crossed products in the same ultraproduct. So let $\Theta_i : L^\infty(X) \rtimes G_i \rightarrow \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$, $i = 1, 2$. By 1.20 we can assume $\Theta_1 = \Theta_2$ on $L^\infty(X) \rtimes H$ (using the freeness of this action). Now we can construct a representation Θ of the algebraic crossed product $L^\infty(X) \rtimes (G_1 *_H G_2)$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$. In order to embed the von Neumann crossed product the trace of each nontrivial u_g , $g \in G_1 *_H G_2$ must be equal to 0. Because $L(G) \subset L^\infty(X) \rtimes G$ we know that G_1 and G_2 are sofic. Then $G_1 *_H G_2$ is sofic (see 2.3). There exist an embedding Λ of $G_1 *_H G_2$ in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{r_k}$ using only elements of trace 0. Define the embedding $\Theta \otimes \Lambda$ of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_{\alpha_1 *_H \alpha_2} G_1 *_H G_2$ like in 3.3. $\square$

Adapting the same methods we can prove this result for a countable family of actions.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of sofic actions of $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ on the same space. Assume H is an amenable common subgroup of G_i and the actions α_i coincide on H . Then $*_H \alpha_i$ is sofic.*

Corollary 3.7. *Each action of a free group, including $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ is sofic.*

Proof. Corollary of 3.3 and 3.6. $\square$

We now recover with our methods the result of Elek-Lippner that any treeable equivalence relation is sofic.

Proposition 3.8. *Every treeable equivalence relation is sofic.*

Proof. Well, treeable is some kind of freeness and freeness in general goes well with soficity.

Let E be a treeable equivalence relation on (X, μ) . Fix a treeing of E , i.e. a countable set of partial Borel isomorphism $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset [[E]]$. For each i we have $\phi_i = a_i \lambda_i$, where a_i is a projection in $L^\infty(X)$ and $\lambda_i \in [E]$.

Define an action α of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ on X such that $\alpha(\gamma_i) = \lambda_i$ (where $\{\gamma_i\}_i$ are the generators of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$). Being an action of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$, α is sofic.

The von Neumann subalgebra of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}_\infty$ generated by $a_i u_{\gamma_i}$ is naturally isomorphic to $M(E)$. Hence every sofic embedding of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}_\infty$ can be restricted to a sofic embedding of $M(E) \subset L^\infty(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}_\infty$. $\square$

We end this section with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Class $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under amalgamated product over amenable groups. It is strictly larger than the class of treeable groups.*

Proof. First part of the theorem is 3.5 and 3.1. By 3.8 (and again 3.1) every treeable group is in $\mathcal{S}$.

Consider now the group $G = \mathbb{Z} *_{(2,3)\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. It is not treeable but $G \in \mathcal{S}$. This example is from [Ga].

Relation $G \in \mathcal{S}$ is just 3.3 and 3.5. By general theory of Gaboriau, the cost of G is $1 + 1 - 1 = 1$. If amalgamation is done with good morphism (multiplication by 2 and 3) then G is not amenable. This implies G is not treeable. $\square$

4 Sofic equivalence relations

Now we shall present from [El-Li] the original definition of Elek and Lippner of soficity for actions and equivalence relations.

Definition 4.1. We call a *basic sequence of projections* for $L^\infty(X)$ a collection $\{e_{i,m}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m, m \geq 0} \subset L^\infty(X)$ with following properties:

1. $\overline{span}^w \{e_{i,m}\}_{i,m} = L^\infty(X)$;
2. $\mu(e_{i,m}) = 2^{-m}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^m, m \geq 0$;
3. $e_{2i-1,m} + e_{2i,m} = e_{i,m-1}, m \geq 1$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_\infty = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \rangle$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by W_r the subset of reduced words of length at most r containing only the first r generators and their inverses. We have $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots$ and $\mathbb{F}_\infty = \cup_{r \geq 0} W_r$.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_\infty \curvearrowright X$ a Borel action and fix $\{e_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r}$ a basic sequence of projections for $L^\infty(X)$. The following definition will allow us to keep track of the position of a point $x \in X$ relative to sets $\{e_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r}$ under the action of $W_r \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$.

Definition 4.2. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A *r-labeled, r-neighborhood* is a finite oriented multi-graph containing:

1. a root vertex such that any vertex is connected to the root by a path of length at most r ;
2. every vertex has a label from the set $\{1, \dots, 2^r\}$;
3. out-edges of every vertex have different colors from the set $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_r^{-1}\}$;
4. if edge xy is colored with γ_i then yx is colored by γ_i^{-1} .

Isomorphism classes of such objects form a finite set that we shall denote by $U^{r,r}$.

For $G \in U^{r,r}$, denote by R_G the root vertex in G . For $\gamma \in W_r$ let γR_G be the vertex in G obtained by starting from R_G and following the path given by γ (if such a path exists). Finally, let $l(\gamma R_G)$ be the label of the vertex γR_G in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^r\}$.

Let X be a space together with a basic sequence of projections. For an action $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_\infty \curvearrowright X$ and $x \in X$ we can define $B_r^\alpha(x) \in U^{r,r}$ by taking the images of x under W_r and their labels with respect to $\{e_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r}$. For $G \in U^{r,r}$ let $T(\alpha, G) = \{x \in X : B_r^\alpha(x) \equiv G\}$. Define also $p_G(\alpha) = \mu(T(\alpha, G))$.

If α is an action on a finite space Y (having the normalized cardinal measure) we have the same definitions provided that we still have some subsets $\{e_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r, r \geq 0}$ of Y satisfying the same summation relations. These are needed to give labels to our vertices. Finite spaces with this kind of partitions are called X -sets. We are now ready to give the definition.

Definition 4.3. An action α of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ is called *sofic* (in Elek-Lippner sense) if there exists a sequence of actions α_k of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ on X -sets such that for any $r \geq 1$, for any $G \in U^{r,r}$ we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_G(\alpha_k) = p_G(\alpha)$.

Definition 4.4. An equivalence relation is called *sofic* if it is generated by a sofic action of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ (all this in Elek-Lippner sense).

For actions of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ the two notions of soficity are different. With our definition every action of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ is sofic (see 3.7). Instead for equivalence relations the two notions are the same. This is what we shall prove now.

Proposition 4.5. Let $E \subset X^2$ be a sofic equivalence relation in sense of Elek-Lippner. Then E is also sofic ($M(E)$ admits a sofic embedding in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$).

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_\infty \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a sofic action in the sense of Elek-Lippner such that $E = E_\alpha$. Let α_k a sequence of actions on X -sets Y_k such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_G(\alpha_k) = p_G(\alpha)$. Finally let n_k be the cardinal of Y_k . We shall embed $M(E)$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ in a sofic way. For this we need:

1. an embedding $L^\infty(X) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$;
2. a representation Θ of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ on $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$;
3. the formula $Tr(f\Theta(\gamma)) = \int_{X_\gamma} f d\mu$ for every $f \in L^\infty(X)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_\infty$, where $X_\gamma = \{x \in X : \gamma x = x\}$.

By hypothesis Y_k are X -sets, so they come together with projections $\{e_{i,r}^k\}_{i,r}$. Construct $e_{i,r} = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_{i,r}^k$. We claim that $\{e_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r, r \geq 0}$ form a basic sequence of projections for the algebra they generate. Relations $e_{i,r} = e_{2i-1,r+1} + e_{2i,r+1}$ are automatic, we just need to prove that $Tr(e_{i,r}) = 2^{-r}$.

Let $\{f_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^r, r \geq 0} \subset L^\infty(X)$ the basic sequence of projections used in the construction of numbers $p_G(\alpha)$. Fix i and r . Let $U_i^{r,r} = \{G \in U^{r,r} : l(R_G) = i\}$, i.e. graphs such that the root has label i . Then $T(\alpha, G) \subset f_{i,r}$ for each $G \in U_i^{r,r}$. Moreover: $f_{i,r} = \sqcup_{G \in U_i^{r,r}} T(\alpha, G)$. In the same way we have $e_{i,r}^k = \sqcup_{G \in U_i^{r,r}} T(\alpha_k, G)$. Because $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_G(\alpha_k) = p_G(\alpha)$ we have $Tr(e_{i,r}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tr(e_{i,r}^k) = Tr(f_{i,r}) = 2^{-r}$.

By identifying $e_{i,r}$ with $f_{i,r}$ we get an embedding of $L^\infty(X)$. Now we construct the representation Θ of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ in $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$. We identified set Y_k with diagonal D_{n_k} and we have actions α_k of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ that are defined on Y_k . This will construct a representation. We need to make sure Θ acts the same way as α .

Let γ be one of the generators of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. Fix i, j and r . Let $U_{i,\gamma j}^{r,r} = \{G \in U^{r,r} : l(R_G) = i, l(\gamma R_G) = j\}$, the set of graphs such that the root has label i and the vertex connected with the root by the γ edge has label j (the existence of such an edge is a requirement we ask now for G). It is easy to see that $f_{i,r} \cap \alpha(\gamma^{-1})(f_{j,r}) = \sqcup_{G \in U_{i,\gamma j}^{r,r}} T(\alpha, G)$. In the same way $e_{i,r}^k \cap \alpha_k(\gamma^{-1})(e_{j,r}^k) = \sqcup_{G \in U_{i,\gamma j}^{r,r}} T(\alpha_k, G)$. Using the hypothesis we get $Tr(e_{i,r} \cdot \Theta(\gamma^{-1})(e_{j,r})) = \mu(f_{i,r} \cap \alpha(\gamma^{-1})(f_{j,r}))$. This is enough to deduce that the action that Θ induce on our embedding of $L^\infty(X)$ is equal to α .

For the third requirement let now $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_\infty$ an arbitrary element. It is of course sufficient to assume that f is one of the projections $e_{i,r}$. We need to prove that

$Tr(e_{i,r}\Theta(\gamma)) = \mu(X_\gamma \cap e_{i,r})$. Lets say that in our construction we have $\Theta(\gamma) = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} \gamma_k$. Then $Tr(e_{i,r}\Theta(\gamma)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tr(e_{i,r}^k \gamma_k)$. Let $U_{i,\gamma}^{r,r} = \{G \in U^{r,r} : l(R_G) = i, \gamma R_G = R_G\}$, i.e. the set of $G \in U^{r,r}$ such that the root has label i and the path in G described by γ , starting from the root, returns to the root. Then $X_\gamma \cap e_{i,r} = \sqcup_{G \in U_{i,\gamma}^{r,r}} T(\alpha, G)$. A similar formula with fixed points of γ_k and $e_{i,r}^k$ takes place. By $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_G(\alpha_k) = p_G(\alpha)$ we get $Tr(e_{i,r}\Theta(\gamma)) = \mu(X_\gamma \cap e_{i,r})$ and we are done. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let E be a sofic equivalence relation ($M(E)$ embeds in some $\Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$). Then E is also sofic in the sense of Elek-Lippner.*

Proof. By 1.14 we have a sofic embedding $M(E) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$ such that $L^\infty(X) = A \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} D_{n_k}$ and $u_\theta \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$ for any $\theta \in [E]$.

We shall denote by d the normalized Hamming distance on P_{n_k} . In general γ, δ will denote elements in $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ and γ_i, δ_i will denote generators of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_\infty \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ an action that generates the equivalence relation E on X . For any element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_\infty$, $\alpha(\gamma)$ induce an element $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $u_\gamma u_\delta = u_{\gamma\delta}$. We shall write $u_\gamma = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} u_\gamma^k \in \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} P_{n_k}$.

Let Y_k be a set with n_k elements and identify algebra D_{n_k} with $L^\infty(Y_k)$. For any generator γ_i of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$, $u_{\gamma_i}^k \in P_{n_k}$ induce an automorphism of Y_k . Denote it by $\alpha_k(\gamma_i)$ and extend α_k by multiplicity to an action of $\mathbb{F}_\infty$.

Let $\{e_{i,m}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m, m \geq 0} \subset L^\infty(X)$ a basic sequence of projections. Use it in order to construct sets $T(\alpha, G)$. Write $e_{i,m} = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} e_{i,m}^k$ such that $\{e_{i,m}^k\}_{i,m}$ respect the same summation relations. Now elements in Y_k are labelled by projections $\{e_{i,m}^k\}_{i,m}$ so we have ingredients for constructing sets $T(\alpha_k, G)$.

We need to show that out of this actions we can find a subsequence satisfying the definition of soficity, namely that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) = \mu(T(\alpha, G))$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $G \in U^{r,r}$ (denote by μ_{n_k} the normalized cardinal measure on a set with n_k elements). The subsequence is just to get rid of the ultrafilter and obtain classical limit for the countable set of objects that we are working with.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us see that it is enough to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) - \mu(T(\alpha, G))| < \varepsilon$ for any $G \in U^{r,r}$. The phenomena here is that, if we fix a $G \in U^{r,r}$, when we pass from step r to $r+1$ we have $T(\alpha, G) = \cup_{G' \in U^{r+1, r+1}; G < G'} T(\alpha, G')$ (relation $G < G'$ is defined in an obvious way). So $\mu(T(\alpha_k, G))$ is a sum of other

$\mu(T(\alpha_{k'}, G'))$, but a finite sum. When we choose our sequence $\{\varepsilon_r\}$ we have to make sure that it compensates this growth.

Sets $\{T(\alpha, G) : G \in U^{r,r}\}$ form a partition of X . Let $T(\alpha, G) = \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} T(\alpha, G)^k$ such that $\{T(\alpha, G)^k : G \in U^{r,r}\}$ is a partition of Y_k . We also have in D_{n_k} projections $T(\alpha_k, G)$. We know that $\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k) \rightarrow_k \mu(T(\alpha, G))$ and we want to show that $\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) \rightarrow_k \mu(T(\alpha, G))$.

Now fix $G \in U^{r,r}$. We need to understand equations that describe points in $T(\alpha, G)$. Remember that R_G is the root vertex in G ; for $\gamma \in W_r$, γR_G is the vertex in G obtained by starting from R_G and following the path given by γ (if such a path exists). Finally, $l(\gamma R_G)$ is the label of the vertex γR_G in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^r\}$. We can now state our characterization of $T(\alpha, G)$.

A point $x \in X$ is an element of the set $T(\alpha, G)$ iff:

1. $\alpha(\gamma)(x) \in e_{l(\gamma R_G), r}$ for any $\gamma \in W_r$ for which γR_G exists;
2. $\alpha(\gamma)(x) = \alpha(\delta)(x) \ \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \ \gamma R_G = \delta R_G$;
3. $\alpha(\gamma)(x) \neq \alpha(\delta)(x) \ \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \ \gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G$.

First condition gives the coloring of vertices. The other two give the structure of the graph G . Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $2|U^{r,r}|(|W_r| + 2|W_r|^2)\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$. We want to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $G \in U^{r,r}$ we have:

$$\mu_{n_k} \left(\alpha_k(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)^k) \setminus e_{l(\gamma R_G), r}^k \right) < \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall \gamma \in W_r; \quad (1)$$

$$\mu_{n_k} \left(T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus \{y \in Y_k : \alpha_k(\gamma)(y) = \alpha_k(\delta)(y)\} \right) < \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \ \gamma R_G = \delta R_G \quad (2)$$

$$\mu_{n_k} \left(T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus \{y \in Y_k : \alpha_k(\gamma)(y) \neq \alpha_k(\delta)(y)\} \right) < \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \ \gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G \quad (3)$$

$$|\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k) - \mu(T(\alpha, G))| < \varepsilon/2. \quad (4)$$

First we shall prove that this four conditions are enough to guarantee $|\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) - \mu(T(\alpha, G))| < \varepsilon$ for every $G \in U^{r,r}$. Using (4) we just need to prove $|\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) - \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k)| < \varepsilon/2$.

Take $x \in (T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus T(\alpha_k, G))$ for some $G \in U^{r,r}$. Following our characterization of $T(\alpha, G)$, we have:

1. $\exists \gamma \in W_r$ such that $\alpha_k(\gamma)(x)$ does not have the right label, namely $l(\gamma R_G)$;
2. or $\exists \gamma, \delta \in W_r$ such that $\gamma R_G = \delta R_G$ and $\alpha_k(\gamma)(x) \neq \alpha_k(\delta)(x)$;
3. or $\exists \gamma, \delta \in W_r$ such that $\gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G$ and $\alpha_k(\gamma)(x) = \alpha_k(\delta)(x)$.

Using (1), (2) and (3) we get:

$$\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus T(\alpha_k, G)) < |W_r|\varepsilon_1 + 2|W_r|^2\varepsilon_1.$$

Because both $\{T(\alpha_k, G)\}_G$ and $\{T(\alpha, G)^k\}_G$ are partitions of Y_k and the above formula holds for any $G \in U^{r,r}$ we have:

$$|\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha_k, G)) - \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k)| < |U^{r,r}|(|W_r|\varepsilon_1 + 2|W_r|^2\varepsilon_1) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Now back to the choice of k . Let $\gamma = \gamma_{i_1}\gamma_{i_2}\dots\gamma_{i_s} \in W_r$. We should in fact take $\gamma = \gamma_{i_1}^{\zeta_1}\gamma_{i_2}^{\zeta_2}\dots\gamma_{i_s}^{\zeta_s}$, where $\zeta_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. The inverses will change nothing in our arguments and will only overload our notations. Due to Feldman-Moore construction we know that $u_\gamma = u_{\gamma_{i_1}}u_{\gamma_{i_2}}\dots u_{\gamma_{i_s}}$. Next, combine $\alpha(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)) \subset e_{l(\gamma R), r}$ and $\alpha(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)) = u_\gamma T(\alpha, G)u_\gamma^*$ to get $u_\gamma T(\alpha, G)u_\gamma^* \subset e_{l(\gamma R), r}$.

Consider now $\gamma, \delta \in W_r$. If $\gamma R_G = \delta R_G$ then $\alpha(\gamma)|_{T(\alpha, G)} = \alpha(\delta)|_{T(\alpha, G)}$ so $Tr(T(\alpha, G)u_\delta^*u_\gamma) = \mu(T(\alpha, G))$ (here we consider $T(\alpha, G)$ to be a projection of $L^\infty(X) \subset \Pi_{k \rightarrow \omega} M_{n_k}$). If $\gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G$ then $Tr(T(\alpha, G)u_\delta^*u_\gamma) = 0$. Find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (4) holds and:

$$\|u_\gamma^k - u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_s}}^k\|_2 < \varepsilon_1/4 \quad \forall \gamma = \gamma_{i_1}\gamma_{i_2}\dots\gamma_{i_s} \in W_r \quad (5)$$

$$\mu_{n_k}\left(u_\gamma^k T(\alpha, G)^k u_\gamma^{k*} \setminus e_{l(\gamma R_G), r}^k\right) < \varepsilon_1/2 \quad \forall \gamma \in W_r, \forall G \in U^{r,r} \quad (6)$$

$$\mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k) - Tr\left(T(\alpha, G)^k u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k\right) < \varepsilon_1/2 \quad \forall G \in U^{r,r} \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \gamma R_G = \delta R_G \quad (7)$$

$$Tr\left(T(\alpha, G)^k u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k\right) < \varepsilon_1/2 \quad \forall G \in U^{r,r} \forall \gamma, \delta \in W_r, \gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G \quad (8)$$

By definition $\alpha_k(\gamma) = \alpha_k(\gamma_{i_1})\alpha_k(\gamma_{i_2})\dots\alpha_k(\gamma_{i_r})$ and $\alpha_k(\gamma_{i_j})(P) = u_{\gamma_{i_j}}^k P u_{\gamma_{i_j}}^{k*}$ for any projection $P \in D_{n_k}$. Then:

$$\alpha_k(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)^k) = \left(u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k\right) T(\alpha, G)^k \left(u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k\right)^*. \quad (9)$$

Both u_γ^k and $u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_s}}^k$ are elements in P_{n_k} so by (5):

$$d(u_\gamma^k, u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_s}}^k) < \varepsilon_1/4.$$

Combined with (9), we have $\mu_{n_k}(\alpha_k(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)^k) \setminus u_\gamma^k T(\alpha, G)^k u_\gamma^{k*}) < \varepsilon_1/4$. Use (6) to get $\mu_{n_k}(\alpha_k(\gamma)(T(\alpha, G)^k) \setminus e_{l(\gamma R), r}^k) < \varepsilon_1/4 + \varepsilon_1/2 < \varepsilon_1$, so we have (1).

Let now $\gamma = \gamma_{i_1} \gamma_{i_2} \dots \gamma_{i_s}$ and $\delta = \delta_{j_1} \delta_{j_2} \dots \delta_{j_t}$ such that $\gamma R_G = \delta R_G$. Use (5) both for γ and δ to get:

$$\|u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k - (u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k u_{\delta_{j_2}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k)\|_2 < \varepsilon_1$$

As before, $u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k$ and $(u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k)$ are elements in P_{n_k} , so:

$$d(u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k, (u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k u_{\delta_{j_2}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k)) < \varepsilon_1/2,$$

Restricting this inequality just to fixed points in set $T(\alpha, G)^k$, we get:

$$|Tr(T(\alpha, G)^k u_\delta^{k*} u_\gamma^k) - Tr(T(\alpha, G)^k (u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k u_{\delta_{j_2}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k))| < \varepsilon_1/2. \quad (10)$$

Apply (9) for γ and δ to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus \{y \in Y_k : \alpha_k(\gamma)(y) = \alpha_k(\delta)(y)\}) &= \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k) - \\ &Tr(T(\alpha, G)^k (u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k u_{\delta_{j_2}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k)). \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (10) and (7) yields (2).

Assume now $\gamma R_G \neq \delta R_G$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n_k}(T(\alpha, G)^k \setminus \{y \in Y_k : \alpha_k(\gamma)(y) \neq \alpha_k(\delta)(y)\}) &= \\ &Tr(T(\alpha, G)^k (u_{\delta_{j_1}}^k u_{\delta_{j_2}}^k \dots u_{\delta_{j_t}}^k)^* (u_{\gamma_{i_1}}^k u_{\gamma_{i_2}}^k \dots u_{\gamma_{i_r}}^k)). \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (10) and (8) will imply (3). □

Acknowledgements

It is my great pleasure to thanks Florin Rădulescu for many discussions and ideas. Special thanks to my friend and colleague Valerio Capraro for introducing me to the subject of

Connes' embedding problem and for his study and work on the subject from which I benefited. I am very grateful to Stefaan Vaes for numerous remarks and corrections on previous versions of the paper and also for considerably easier proofs for some results including lemma 1.19 and lemma 3.3. Parts of this article were written during my stay in Leuven in Spring 2010. Also, I want to thank Damien Gaboriau and Ken Dykema for useful remarks.

References

- [Ca-Pa] V. Capraro - L. Păunescu, *Product Between Ultrafilters and Applications to the Connes' Embedding Problem* arXiv:0911.4978 (2009)
- [CFW] A. Connes - J. Feldman - B. Weiss, *An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation*, Erg. Theory Dyn. Sys. 1(1981), 431-450.
- [Co-Dy] B. Collins - K. Dykema, *Free products on sofic groups with amalgamation over monotileably amenable groups* arXiv:1003.1675 (2010).
- [El-Li] G. Elek - G. Lippner, *Sofic equivalence relations*, arXiv:0906.3619 (2009).
- [El-Sz1] G. Elek - E. Szabo, *On sofic groups*, J. Group Theory 9 (2006), Issue 2, 161-171.
- [El-Sz2] G. Elek - E. Szabo, *Sofic representations of amenable groups*, arXiv:1010.3424 (2010).
- [Fe-Mo] J. Feldman - C. C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras*, II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234 (1977) no. 2, 325-359.
- [Ga] D. Gaboriau, *Count des relations d'équivalence et des groupes*, Invent. Math., 139 (2000), no. 1, 41-98.
- [Ke-Mi] A. Kechris - B. Miller, *Topics in orbit equivalence*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1852 Springer Verlag.
- [Oz] N. Ozawa, *Unpublished lectures notes*, available at <http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~pisier/taka.talk.pdf>

- [Pe] V. Pestov, *Hyperlinear and sofic groups: a brief guide*, Bull. Symbolic Logic 14 (2008) no. 4, 449-480.
- [Po1] S. Popa, *On a Problem of R.V. Kadison on Maximal Abelian *-Subalgebras in Factors*, Inv. Math., 65 (1981), 269-281.
- [Po2] S. Popa, *Notes on Cartan subalgebras in type II_1 factors*, Math. Scand., 57 (1985), 171-188.
- [Ră] F. Rădulescu, *The von Neumann algebras of the non-residually finite Baumslag group $\langle a, b | ab^3a^{-1} = b^2 \rangle$ embeds into R^ω* , arXiv:math/0004172v3 (2000).

L. PĂUNESCU, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA and
 INSTITUTE of MATHEMATICS "S. Stoilow" of the ROMANIAN ACADEMY email:
 liviu.paunescu@imar.ro